



ABSTRACT ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Scientific Abstracts:

Scientific abstracts must contain:

1. A descriptive title, list of authors and institutions;
2. An introduction/rationale to the study;
3. The methods used;
4. The results of the study including new data not previously published or presented at a major national or international meeting;
5. Conclusions of the study. It is not satisfactory to state: "The results will be discussed."

AUTHORS MUST ENSURE THAT ALL ABSTRACTS MEET THESE MINIMUM CRITERIA TO ENSURE ACCEPTANCE. It is recommended that the abstract content be organized with the following headings: RATIONALE, METHODS, RESULTS, AND CONCLUSIONS.

Furthermore, if this manuscript, or part of it, was presented or published elsewhere and you are its author, you must mention it during your submission.

Case Reports:

Interesting and unique case reports will be considered for presentation at the EFWN Summit. Each abstract should describe only a single case report. Case report abstracts must contain the following four components:

1. A descriptive title, list of authors and institutions;
2. An introduction
3. A description of the actual case report
4. A discussion of the novelty and importance of the specific case

We strongly encourage abstracts dealing with new developments on Alternative Energy/Energy Production, Access to Safe Water and Water Reuse, Food Production, and topics that enhance Policies and Decision Making in EWFN.

Additionally, authors with innovative abstracts will be selected and invited to submit an extended manuscript for publication in our International Journal on EnergyWaterFoodNexus.

EXAMPLES OF ABSTRACTS THAT MAY BE REJECTED

- Abstracts that are general descriptions of a new product.
- Abstracts that read like advertisements.
- Abstracts that are a general description of a managerial innovation.